AMENDME	NT THANSMITTAL LETT	DOCKET NUMBER: P-IX 2947			
SERIAL NO: 09/203,768	FILING DATE: December 2, 1998	EXAMINE L. Helm		GROUP ART UNIT: 1642	
INVENTION: T OF USE	UMOR SPECIFIC HUMAN	N MONOCLO	ONAL AN	TIBODIES AND METHODS	

TO COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

TEHPENER SOMEON I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C., 20231 on 2000.

David A. Gay, Reg : 39,200

May 31, 2000 Date of Signature

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

Small Entity status of this application has been established under 37 CFR 1.27 by a verified statement previously submitted.

May

- <u>X</u> Sequence Listing pages 1-7 along with diskette.
- X Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(f) and (g).
- X Petition for Extension of Time is enclosed.
- Request for Continued Examination is enclosed.
- X No additional claims fee is required.
- An additional claims fee is required and has been calculated as shown below:

CLAIMS AS AMENDED

	NUMBER AFTER		HIGHEST NUMBER		NUMBER OF EXTRA		RATE		FEE		
	AMEND- MENT		PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		CLAIMS PRESENTED		SMALL ENTITY	OTHER ENTITY		SMALL ENTITY	OTHER ENTITY
TOTAL CLAIMS	46	-	46	-	0	x	\$9	\$18	=	\$0.00	\$0.00
INDEPEN- DENT CLAIMS	14	-	14	-	0	x	\$39	\$78	=	\$0.00	\$0.00
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM			YES		XNO		\$130	\$260	=	\$0.00	\$0.00
							TOTAL ADDITION	NAL FEE		\$0.00	\$0.00

- If the "HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR" is less than 20, write "20" in this space.
- If the "HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR" is less than 3, write "3" in this space.
- If the difference between the "NUMBER AFTER AMENDMENT" and the "HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR" is less than 0, write "0" in the space.

Inventors:

Watkins and Huse

Serial No.:

09/203,768

Filed:

December 2, 1998

Page 2

 Please	charg	e my	Depos	it Aco	cour	it No.	03-03	370	the	amount	οf
\$	P	dup.	licate	сору	of	this	sheet	is	encl	losed.	

- \underline{X} A check in the amount of \$435.00 is enclosed which covers the fee for a three-month extension of time.
- <u>X</u> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 03-0370. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
 - \underline{X} Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16.
 - \underline{X} Any patent application processing fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.17.
- X The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge to Deposit Account No. 03-0370 any fees under 37 CFR 1.17 which may be required under 37 CFR 1.136(a)(3) for an extension of time in any concurrent or future reply requiring a petition for extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

David A. Gay

Registration No.: 39,200

CAMPBELL & FLORES LLP 4370 La Jolla Village Drive

7th Floor

San Diego, California 92122

858-535-9001

USPTO CUSTOMER NO. 23601



PATENT

Our Docket: P-IX 2947

N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of Watkins and Huse

Serial No: 09/203,768

Filed: December 2, 1998

For: TUMOR SPECIFIC HUMAN

MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES AND METHODS OF USE

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Group Art Unit: 1642

Examiner: L. Helms

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C.

20231, on May 31, 2000

David A. Gay, Reg. No. 36,200

May 31, 2000 Date of Signature

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Responsive to the Office Action mailed
February 3, 2000, entry of the following Amendments and Remarks
is respectfully requested. A response to the Office Action was
originally due March 4, 2000. Applicants submit herewith a
Petition of Extension of Time to extend the time to reply to the
Office Action for 3 months, until June 4, 2000. Accordingly,
this Response is being timely filed.

REMARKS

Claims 1-46 are pending in the above-identified application and are subject to a restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. § 121. The Office Action alleges that the claims are directed to sixteen independent inventions set forth as follows: